

Nelson (NE)	Sessions	Thompson
Nickles	Shelby	Thurmond
Reed	Smith (NH)	Torricelli
Reid	Smith (OR)	Voinovich
Roberts	Snowe	Warner
Rockefeller	Specter	Wellstone
Santorum	Stabenow	Wyden
Sarbanes	Stevens	
Schumer	Thomas	

Schumer	Stabenow	Torricelli
Snowe	Stevens	Wellstone
Specter	Thompson	Wyden

## NAYS—40

Allard	Frist	Nelson (NE)
Allen	Gramm	Nickles
Bennett	Grassley	Roberts
Bond	Gregg	Santorum
Breaux	Hagel	Sessions
Brownback	Hatch	Shelby
Bunning	Helms	Smith (NH)
Burns	Hutchinson	Smith (OR)
Campbell	Hutchinson	Thomas
Craig	Inhofe	Thurmond
Crapo	Kyl	Voinovich
DeWine	Lott	Warner
Domenici	McConnell	
Enzi	Murkowski	

The motion was rejected.

## CHANGE OF VOTES

Mr. GRAHAM. Mr. President, on rollcall No. 50, I voted "aye." It was my intention to vote "no." Therefore, I ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to change my vote since it would in no way change the outcome of the vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. KOHL. Mr. President, on rollcall vote No. 50, I voted "aye." It was my intention to vote "no." Therefore, I ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to change my vote since it would in no way change the outcome of the vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(The foregoing tally has been changed to reflect the above orders.)

Mr. MCCONNELL addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Is the Senator from Kentucky correct that in order to adopt the Hagel amendment, division II, just voted on, by voice vote would require unanimous consent?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That is correct.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I so ask unanimous consent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered. It is adopted.

(Amendment No. 146, division II, was agreed to.)

Mr. MCCONNELL. I move to reconsider the vote.

Mr. DODD. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

## VOTE ON DIVISION III, SUBTITLE C, SOFT MONEY OF NATIONAL PARTIES; STATE PARTY ALLOCABLE ACTIVITIES

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question now occurs on agreeing to the motion. The yeas and nays have been ordered.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 60, nays 40, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 51 Leg.]

## YEAS—60

Akaka	Daschle	Kerry
Baucus	Dayton	Kohl
Bayh	Dodd	Landrieu
Biden	Dorgan	Leahy
Bingaman	Durbin	Levin
Boxer	Edwards	Lieberman
Byrd	Ensign	Lincoln
Cantwell	Feingold	Lugar
Carnahan	Feinstein	McCain
Carper	Fitzgerald	Mikulski
Chafee	Graham	Miller
Cleland	Harkin	Murray
Clinton	Hollings	Nelson (FL)
Cochran	Inouye	Reid
Collins	Jeffords	Rockefeller
Conrad	Johnson	Sarbanes
Corzine	Kennedy	

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote.

Mr. LIEBERMAN. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, just to notify the Chamber, the next amendment to be offered will be by Senator KERRY of Massachusetts.

I ask unanimous consent that the recess be extended until the hour of 2:30 p.m. today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now stand in recess until the hour of 2:30 p.m.

Thereupon, at 1:15 p.m., the Senate recessed until 2:30 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. INHOFE).

## BIPARTISAN CAMPAIGN REFORM ACT OF 2001—(continued)

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair, in his capacity as a Senator from the State of Oklahoma, suggests the absence of a quorum. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, I am very pleased at the progress we have made. We have disposed of a number of amendments. I think we have had a level of debate with which Americans are pleased, as are certain Members of the Senate, by the significant participation that has taken place.

We really only have two major issues remaining. One is the issue of severability, which is, if there is a constitutional challenge to this legislation, if one part falls, whether or not all of it falls. The other is the hard money issue, with lots of negotiations and discussions going on as I speak.

It was agreed at the beginning we would spend 2 weeks on this issue, and that was my understanding. It is now my understanding that there are some Members who think perhaps we would not move to final passage. I am committed to moving to final passage.

As I have said before, it is not the 2 weeks that counts; it is the final disposition of this legislation which I think not only I but the American people deserve.

As I say, we have disposed of the major issues with the exception of two. Therefore, in regard to further consideration of the bill before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that first-degree amendments be limited to 10 each for the proponents and opponents of the bill; that relevant second-degree amendments be in order, with 1 hour for debate per second-degree amendment; and after all amendments are offered, the bill be immediately advanced to third reading for final passage, with no intervening action or debate.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Reserving the right to object, and I will object, let me say to my friend from Arizona, he knows, and we worked on it together, the consent agreement under which we took up this legislation scripted the beginning of the bill. It did not script the end.

The Senator from Arizona made very plain from the beginning he wanted this debate to end in an up-or-down vote. It may well end in an up-or-down vote, but the consent agreement did not determine that, and it would not be possible to get consent to structure the end at this time.

Let me say this to my friend from Arizona. I agree with him the only big issues left are the hard money limits and the nonseverability question. I do not think it is likely we would go beyond Thursday night, in any event.

However, Mr. President, to the unanimous consent request, I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

The Senator from Arizona.

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, I appreciate the thoughts of the Senator from Kentucky. It is hard for me to understand now, with just 2 full days, 2½ days, why we wouldn't, as is our practice around here once we have considered a lot of amendments and a lot of proposals, as we reach the end, narrow down amendments. One, then, has to wonder what the intentions are.

I don't perhaps disagree with the Senator from Kentucky about the language of the unanimous consent agreement. I believe everyone was laboring under the impression that we would reach final resolution of this issue with an up-or-down vote. There are some Senators who now question that.

So I will be back with another unanimous consent request, and if that is not agreeable, then one can only draw the conclusion that there is an objection to a final disposition of this issue and that, obviously, would be something we would have to then consider.

I want to make perfectly clear again what I said at the very beginning, and I will be glad to read the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD when the unanimous